TAB H

1

VOLUME: PAGES:

I 176

EXHIBITS: See Index

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

	X	
CYCLE-CRAFT CO., INC.,	X	
d/b/a BOSTON	X	
HARLEY-DAVIDSON/BUELL,	Χ	
Plaintiff	X	
	X	CASE NO.
VS.	X	04 11402 NMG
	Χ	
HARLEY-DAVIDSON MOTOR	Χ	
COMPANY, INC., and BUELL	Χ	
DISTRIBUTION COMPANY, LLC,	X	
Defendants	<u>X</u>	

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION of KENNETH MCPHEE, taken pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, before Jill Kourafas, Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts held at the Law Offices of Bingham McCutchen, 150 Federal Street, Boston, Massachusetts, on May 2, 2005, commencing at 9:17 a.m.

REPORTERS, INC. GENERAL & TECHNICAL COURT REPORTING 23 MERRYMOUNT ROAD, QUINCY, MA 02169 617.786.7783/FACSIMILE 617.786.7723

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Do you know for how long they'd been used?
- A. One of them I know was used throughout the year.
- Q. Does Cycle-Craft still own these motorcycles today?
- 7 A. Yes, we do.
- 8 Q. They haven't been transferred to anybody?
- 9 A. No, not to my knowledge.
- 10 Q. Do you know whether they're registered with the DMV?
- 12 A. I believe -- I think one is still.
- 13 Q. What about the other two?
- 14 A. The other two, I believe, are in crates.
- 15 Q. And these three motorcycles were reported as
  16 having been sold at retail, SWR submissions
  17 by the dealership to Harley-Davidson; is
  18 that correct?
- MR. REHNQUIST: Objection;
- 20 foundation.
- 21 A. To my knowledge, yes.
- Q. You say in your affidavit, Paragraph 14, the second-to-last sentence, "They were
- legitimate sales to Cycle-Craft"; do you see

Do you have any personal knowledge about

22

23

24

Α.

Q.

Yes, I do.

that?

128

- 1 A. No, just hearsay.
- Q. Well, hearsay from sources other than counsel?
- 4 | A. Just employee talk.
- 5 Q. Okay. Well, what employees?
- A. I don't know specific employees. I just
  heard people say they couldn't buy. I
  didn't know who exactly it was buying, but
  you heard talk of people that wanted to buy
  but couldn't.
- 11 Q. Can you put any names with those comments?
- 12 | A. NO.

18

19

20

21

- Q. This statement says, though, you're
  attesting to this under oath that none of
  these 13 perspective employee relative
  buyers carried through with their purchase,
  what's the source of that statement?
  - A. After the fact, after reviewing the inspections of records letter and reviewing that, I see none of those 13 carried thorough with their purchases.
- Q. So, it's your deduction that these 13 people didn't wind up with the bikes, and so, therefore, they didn't carry through with

- their purchases? 1 2 Α. Correct. Coming back to the last sentence of 19, your 3 Q. statement that "Employee relatives initially 4 ordered motorcycles pursuant to this 5 expanded offer," that was information that 6 was given to you by counsel? 7 Yes. 8 Α. Do you have any understanding as to how 9 Q. counsel got that information? 10 MR. REHNQUIST: Objection. 11 No, I do not. 12 Α. But there's nothing at the dealership that 13 Q. you could find that shows that employees 14 ordered motorcycles pursuant to this 15 expanded offer as indicated in the last 16 sentence of 19? 17 MR. REHNQUIST: Objection; 18 foundation. 19 20 Α. No. Second sentence of Paragraph 20 says, "Some 21 Q. simply changed their minds for personal 22 reasons"; how do you know that? 23
- 24 (Pause.) Α.